1.    Stated goals and solution.

1. What problem are the authors trying to solve?
2. What are the bounds on this problem, i.e., what are they not trying to solve?
3. What techniques or tools do the authors offer to solve the problem at hand?
4. How do the authors know they have solved the problem?
5. Do the authors test or validate their approach experimentally? Or what methodology authors use for their analysis?
6. Does the solution meet the stated goals, or does it fall short in some way?
7. Avoid simply quoting the authors’ own abstract. Restating in your own words demonstrates your understanding.

2.    Cool or significant ideas.

1. What is new here?
2. What are the main contributions of the paper?
3. What did you find most interesting?
4. Is this whole paper just a one-off clever trick or are there fundamental ideas here which could be reused in other contexts?

 3.    Fallacies and blind spots.

1. Did the authors make any assumptions or disregard any issues that make their approach less appealing?
2. Are there any theoretical problems, practical difficulties, implementation complexities, overlooked influences of evolving technology, and so on?
3. Do you expect the technique to be more or less useful in the future?
4. What kind of code or situation would defeat this approach, and are those programs or scenarios important in practice?

4.    Note: we are not interested in flaws in presentation, such as trivial examples, confusing notation, or spelling errors. However, if you have a great idea on how some concept could be presented or formalized better, mention it.

5.    New ideas and connections to other work.

1. How could the paper be extended?
2. How could some of the flaws of the paper be corrected or avoided?
3. Also, how does this paper relate to others we have read, or even any other research you are familiar with?
4. Are there similarities between this approach and other work, or differences that highlight important facets of both?

PS: Please take the time to edit your reviews. Unclear or unnecessarily long prose will be graded accordingly.